

we have introduced this first version of legislation to demonstrate our commitment to the settlement process, and to allow all interested parties the time to suggest changes to precisely reflect the terms of the settlement.

One of the purposes of this legislation is to implement the settlement (in lieu of adjudication) of all of the water rights claims to the Gila River and its tributaries. Once this legislation is enacted, and the presiding judge approves the settlement agreement, water litigation over rights to the waters of the Gila River, which has been ongoing since 1978, will be terminated. Resolution of this case, and of other issues addressed in the settlement agreements, will help to ensure that there is a more stable and certain water supply for the various water users. This is a significant benefit to the citizens of Arizona, the tribes, and the United States.

The legislation will also resolve several financial issues. For example, it will effectuate a settlement of litigation between the state and federal government over the state's repayment obligation for construction of the Central Arizona Project. It also amends the Colorado River Basin Project Act of 1968 to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to expand funds from the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund to construct irrigation distribution systems to deliver CAP water to the Gila River Indian Community and other CAP water users.

In addition, this legislation authorizes the reallocation of 65,647 acre-feet of CAP water for use by Arizona communities, and the reallocation of nearly 200,000 acre-feet for the settlement of Indian water claims.

We compliment the parties for their hard work and their commitment to resolving these difficult and sometimes contentious issues. We hope and expect that all parties will continue to

Since the parties have not yet completed their negotiations, this bill is, of necessity, also a work in progress. We point out that some of the provisions in the bill may have to be modified (e.g. Section 207 has not been totally agreed to by all interested parties), and other provisions will have to be added (e.g., resolution of conflicts involving water users in the Upper Gila Valley, the City of Safford, and the San Carlos Apache Tribe).

We note that, while Interior staff have been active in the ongoing negotiations and have served on the committees drafting the bill, the Department of the Interior has not had an opportunity to vet some sections of this draft prior to its introduction. One reason for introducing this bill now rather than waiting until the final settlement agreement has been completed, is to enable Secretary Babbitt to analyze and comment upon the draft legislation before he leaves office in January. Secretary Babbitt has been a major participant in the negotiations over the last two years; and his input into the final legislation will be very important to the successful conclusion of the process.

In summary, our intention is to initiate public discussion of the issues and elicit constructive comments on this bill. Our plan is to reintroduce a modified form of this bill early in the 107th Congress. We expect that the necessary settlement agreements will be complete and signed prior to reintroduction. In relation to the Gila River Indian Community Settlement, we expect that all of the participants named in the attached list will support the settlement agreement, and the implementing legislation, Section 213 has been left open for additional parties to the agreement.

We hope that agreement can be reached to settle the claims of the San Carlos Apache

Tribe. Title IV has been left open for this purpose. However, if the San Carlos Tribe cannot reach agreement with the other parties, including the United States, it is our intention to proceed without Title IV. A separate San Carlos settlement will have to be pursued at a later date.

We pledge our continuing effort to work with the parties to successfully conclude these historic settlements.

John McCain, Bob Stump, Jon Kyl, Jim Kolbe, Ed Pastor, Matt Salmon, J.D. Hayworth, John Shadegg.

SETTLEMENT PARTICIPANTS

Gila River Indian Community
United States—Department of the Interior;
Department of Justice
State of Arizona/Arizona Department of
Water Resources
Central Arizona Water Conservation District
Salt River Project
Roosevelt Water Conservation District
ASARCO
Phelps Dodge
City of Mesa
City of Chandler
City of Scottsdale
City of Peoria
City of Glendale
City of Phoenix
Maricopa Stanfield Irrigation and Drainage
District
Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage Dis-
trict
San Carlos Irrigation and Drainage District
Town of Coolidge
Hohokam Irrigation and Drainage District
Gila Valley Irrigation District
Franklin Irrigation District
City of Safford
Town of Kearney
Graham County Utilities
Arizona State Land Department
Arizona Water Company
City of Tempe
Arizona Game and Fish
City of Casa Grande
Town of Gilbert
Town of Florence
Town of Duncan
Buckeye Irrigation Company
Roosevelt Irrigation District
New Magma Irrigation and Drainage District

STATE OF ARIZONA,

Phoenix, AZ, October 11, 2000.

Hon. JON KYL,
U.S. Senate,
Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATOR KYL: I commend you for the introduction of the draft legislation the Arizona Water Settlements Act of 2000. This bill will maintain the momentum toward the completion of negotiations on difficult water issues concerning the Central Arizona Project, the Gila River Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the San Carlos Apache Tribe.

The Central Arizona Project is the lifeblood of Arizona. Confirming the repayment settlement between the United States and the Central Arizona Water Conservation District will benefit all of Arizona's taxpayers. Confirming the agreement between the Secretary of the Interior and the Arizona Department of Water Resources on the allocation of CAP water will provide for Arizona's future.

It is my understanding that when this legislation is reintroduced in the next congressional session, the parties will approve the Gila River Indian Community settlement agreement. The Governor of the State of Arizona has traditionally been a signatory to Indian water rights settlements and I expect

to be a signatory to the Gila settlement. However, I want to emphasize that I will only support a complete settlement of the Gila River Indian Community claims. For example, the economic well being of the upper Gila River Valley communities and agricultural interests is of great interest of the State of Arizona. I understand that much work remains to resolve these upper valley issues and I urge all the participants to reach an agreement as part of the overall settlement.

Again, I commend your efforts to move the process along, and I look forward to our continued work together on Arizona water resource issues.

Sincerely,

JANE DEE HULL,
Governor.

OLDER AMERICANS ACT AMENDMENTS OF 2000

SPEECH OF

HON. PATSY T. MINK

OF HAWAII

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, October 24, 2000

Mrs. MINK of Hawaii. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of H.R. 782, the Older Americans Act Amendments of 2000. I am delighted that we are at long last reauthorizing this very popular program that has helped to improve the lives of America's seniors since it was first established in 1965, my first year in Congress.

Reauthorization of the Older Americans Act (OAA) is long overdue. Authorization of programs under OAA expired at the end of fiscal year 1995. Nonetheless, Congress has continued to appropriate funds for OAA programs. These programs have earned broad bipartisan support.

H.R. 782 contains several provisions that will strengthen the Older Americans Act, including establishment of the National Caregiver Program to aid families in caring for frail elders and for grandparents caring for grandchildren. This program, authorized at \$125 million, provides grants to states for a multifaceted system of supportive services including information, assistance, counseling, and respite services.

The bill also provides new demonstration programs on domestic violence, rural health, computer training, and transportation. H.R. 782 authorizes as permanent two current demonstration programs—the Eldercare Locator Service and the Pension Rights and Counseling Program.

These are in addition to the mainstays of the Older Americans Act: elderly nutrition programs that provide congregate and home-delivered meals to over 3 million older persons annually; the Senior Community Service Employment Program, which provides opportunities for part-time employment in community service activities for unemployed, low-income older persons; and elder abuse prevention and long-term care ombudsman programs.

I am very pleased to be given an opportunity to reauthorize this vital legislation, which makes a tremendous difference in the lives of our senior citizens.